

## RESPONSES TO FORMAL ADVERTISEMENT

APPENDIX B

NAME REF.	COMMENTS/ SUGGESTIONS	OFFICER RESPONSE
1	<p><b>Objection</b> - The existing regulations were put in place because Bath Lane Recreation Ground is the only Recreation ground in the Borough that does not have a car park. At present the Park is well used by Dog Walkers, Bird Watchers, People exercising, Parents taking their children to the play area, impromptu football matches, rugby and cricket, Cricket practice in the nets, Kite flying, Model Aircraft flying, on a good summers day Sunbathing and family BBQ's. The majority of these people come by car and generally stay longer than 2 hours, especially of the weekends.</p>	<p>The two hour limited waiting period will not change, only the length of time over which it applies. Car parks are available for longer stays, and more appropriate than is longer stay parking by non-residents in this residential area</p>
2	<p><b>Objection</b> - Since the start of the residents parking scheme there has been little pressure on parking in Lower Bath Lane, although I am not allowed to use them my observation there is almost always plentiful empty space in Deane's Park Road. I felt that before the restrictions were imposed there were real problems of imbalance with the residents losing out to all day parking by people working in the town centre and did not object to the original restrictions as I considered that they were fully justified within the Council's approved policy</p>	<p>Observations have shown that there are also times when Lower Bath Lane is fully parked up</p>
3	<p><b>Objection</b> - Believe limited parking to 2 hours will reduce access to community resource. Restricting access to the recreation ground to just 2 hours a day or a Sunday is discriminatory to young families and people with disabilities who wish to explore and enjoy this historic public space. Six days a week the park will only be accessible through an</p>	<p>Response to Ref 1 applies</p>

	unpleasant and unsafe subway even if paid parking spaces can be located in Fareham town.	
4	<b>Objection</b> - Restricting access to the recreation ground to just 2 hours a day or a Sunday makes it extremely difficult for young families and people with disabilities who wish to explore and enjoy this historic public space. Six days a week the park will only be accessible through an unpleasant and unsafe subway even if paid parking spaces can be located in Fareham town (the nearest car park is often so busy we cannot find a space).	Response to Ref 1 applies
5	<b>Objection</b> - Believe that limiting parking to just two hours will reduce access to this wonderful community resource. This looks to the public as a Council income generation activity, which is being unduly influenced by a few residents. I/we believe the planning committee would not wish to be judged this way and would urge the committee to reject this application and protect the rights of the majority.	Response to Ref 1 applies
6	<b>Objection</b> - Limiting parking to just two hours, will significantly reduce access to this wonderful community resource. Road safety will not be reduced by limiting parking as those cars are traveling more slowly looking for a parking space, it is those residents heading out or home that drive with greater urgency.	Response to Ref 1 applies
7	<b>Objection</b> - Limiting parking to just two hours, will significantly reduce access to this wonderful community resource. Road safety will not be reduced by limiting parking as those cars are traveling more slowly looking for a parking space, it is those residents heading out or home that drive with greater urgency.	Response to Ref 1 applies

8	<p><b>Objection</b> - Restricting access to the recreation ground to just 2 hours a day or a Sunday is discriminatory to young families and people with disabilities who wish to explore and enjoy this historic public space.</p>	Response to Ref 1 applies
9	<p><b>Support</b> - Removing the right of parking permits for houses, in particular to numbers 46 to 54 Lower Bath Lane, as this goes against the original condition of planning consent that all the houses as part of that development had sufficient off road parking.</p>	Comments noted
10	<p><b>Support</b> - Evident those residents in Deanes Park Road and The High street are refusing to purchase resident's Parking Permit as they can get free parking after 2pm (first 2 hrs being allowed) and at weekends in Lower Bath Lane.</p>	Comments noted
11	<p><b>Support</b> - We have been given permits to park in lower Deanes Park Road which is almost 1/4 of a mile away from our property which makes it very hard when you have been shopping and there are 5+ bags of food to carry. Parking there late at night if I have been out with friends I have to then go walk home under the alley bridge which is dark and can also be dangerous. There are two car parks, please may you consider giving us two permits in either one of the car parks.</p>	Comments noted
12	<p><b>Support</b> - When the Permit Parking scheme was introduced the residents were aware that the hours for restricted parking seemed to be to suit the cricket team and not the residents. Lower Bath Lane were given restrictions during 10am and 4pm daily Monday to Saturday, after a few months without any consultation, the Saturday restriction was removed presumably at the cricketer's request as it certainly wouldn't benefit the residents.</p>	Comments noted

